

Exploring Language Teaching Methods: An in-Depth Analysis of Grammar Translation, Direct Method, and Audiolingual Method: A Literature Review

Keo Vireak^{1*}, Lan Bunrosy²

Graduate school of National University of Battambang, Cambodia

Corresponding Author: Keo Vireak keovireak92@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: English Language Teaching Methodology, Grammar Translation Method, Direct Method, Audiolingual Method

Received : 22, February

Revised : 21, March

Accepted: 30, April

©2024 Vireak, Bunrosy: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



ABSTRACT

The present literature study delves into the development of language teaching methodologies, with particular emphasis on three well-known techniques: Grammar Translation, Direct Method, and Audiolingual Method. The background underscores how pedagogical ideas have changed throughout time and stresses the historical context of language learning. The evaluation analyzes important academic publications, instructional resources, and empirical investigations using a thorough methodology to evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of each approach. Findings of the discussion show that educators can make informed decisions about selecting and adapting methodologies to optimize language instruction for diverse learner populations if they understand their historical development, theoretical underpinnings, practical implications, and limitations. This approach combines elements from each method while addressing their limitations, resulting in dynamic and effective language learning experiences that cater to diverse learner needs and goals. And the review concludes that Language teaching strategies vary, with each method having unique characteristics and methods. The Direct Method promotes natural language learning, the Audiolingual Method emphasizes habit building, and the Grammar Translation Method emphasizes formal language structures. To enhance effectiveness, teachers should combine technology, communicative teaching practices, and a learner-centered environment.

INTRODUCTION

This paper aims to provide a comprehensive examination of key language teaching methodologies, offering valuable insights into their historical development, theoretical foundations, practical applications, strengths, limitations, and implications for language education.

These days, it is hard to dispute that the academic and scientific subject of language instruction in general, and English language teaching (ELT) in particular, stand out for their strong dynamic and ongoing development and evolution (Cal Varela, 2010). Additionally, Ali Alghamdi et al. (2019), different approaches have been used throughout the history of English language teaching (ELT) and language learning in circumstances where English is being used as a second or foreign language (ESL and EFL). Repetition and memory of English language structures have long been important components of the mainstream teaching approach. When it comes to helping English language learners become more proficient speakers outside of the classroom, this mindset has shown to be ineffective. Therefore, in order to motivate pupils to achieve academic goals, teachers need possess more than only skill in their teaching methods. For example, Archana and Rani (2017), being an educator is just one of many tasks that teachers must complete in order to educate their students. A teacher is the person in the classroom with the skills, resources, and knowledge needed to instruct younger pupils.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Moreover, there have been many developments in both learning tactics and teaching approaches in this rapidly expanding environment. However, to successfully assist language learners in reaching their learning objectives, teachers must possess exceptional teaching techniques that allow them to adapt their lesson plans to the individual needs of each student. According to Bidabadi et al. (2016), Attention to this task in higher education is seen as a major one, therefore in their instruction, educators must pay attention to learners and learning strategy; along with these two variables, the educators should move forward to attain new teaching ways.

METHODOLOGY

The research method employed in this literature review on analyzing the Grammar Translation, Direct Method, and Audiolingual Method involves a systematic examination and synthesis of existing data sources, including research studies, review papers, academic publications, instructional resources, and empirical investigations. By drawing on a diverse range of literature, this approach aims to comprehensively elucidate the advantages, disadvantages, limitations, and characteristics of each language teaching methodology. Through a thorough analysis of previous research findings and scholarly discourse, this review seeks to provide educators with valuable insights into designing effective teaching practices tailored to the needs of pupils in the modern era. By synthesizing the existing body of knowledge on the Grammar Translation, Direct Method, and Audiolingual Method, this literature review

aims to inform pedagogical decision-making and promote evidence-based approaches to language instruction that optimize learning outcomes in contemporary educational contexts.

Method of Teaching English

Reddy (2012), today, the world considers English to be a global language since no other language has been as widely spread or spoken by as many people as English. It makes the news every day in several nations and has been adopted by many others. It has a unique role to play in countries where it has been adopted as the official language and is used as a means of communication. And RAJU stated that ELT, or English Language Teaching, is important because English has become a global language and is widely used in various fields such as business, education, and technology. Proficiency in English can open up opportunities for individuals to communicate and collaborate with people from different countries and cultures. In addition, English language skills are often required for higher education and employment opportunities. Therefore, ELT plays a crucial role in equipping individuals with the necessary language skills to succeed in today's globalized world.

Based on a study conducted by Al-Taai (2021) shows that teaching is a science with established principles that can be observed, tested, and assessed. After that, its abilities can be trained. Enhancing the effectiveness of public education, including its research components, begins with improving teacher efficiency through the use of instructional strategies. The teacher's educational preparation would strengthen the human elements of the teaching process and foster the faculty-student interaction. Likewise, teaching methodology has a huge impact on students' learning experiences. The English language has changed with time (Khalil, 2020).

In connection with this, Salman (2015) claims that the set of actions a teacher does to help students behave in a certain way is known as the teaching approach. One element of the curriculum is teaching strategies. That is why educators must conduct an analysis of each ELT approach to determine its strengths, characteristics, and limits in order to enhance language teaching. The approaches are outlined below.

History of Grammar Translation Method

Based on research conducted by Moe shows that one of the oldest techniques that came from teaching Latin is the grammar translation approach. In the early 1500s, Latin was the most widely studied foreign language in Europe. Nonetheless, the usage of Latin declined during the century and was progressively supplanted by an emphasis on grammatical principles. Since it was initially implemented in the teaching of the classical languages, Latin and Greek, this approach has been referred to as the "Classical Method." The Grammar Translation Method was the name given to the Classical Method in the nineteenth century. Actually, at the close of the eighteenth century, the Grammar Translation Method was initially referred to as the Prussian Method in the United States. In the early 1900s, the Grammar Translation Method. The

Grammar Translation Method was employed in the early 1900s to assist pupils in reading and comprehending literature written in foreign languages. Additionally, it was employed in the hopes that by studying the grammar of the target language, students would become more conversant with the grammar of their home tongue.

Additionally, the "Classical Method," which originated in Latin language instruction, was popular and stayed mostly intact throughout the nineteenth century, even as other "modern" foreign languages started to replace Latin in classrooms. Through translation tasks, this strategy emphasized the rote acquisition of grammar rules. Oral practice was sparse since being a proficient communicator in the language was only considered as a secondary goal (Kirkwood, 2018).

Germany also adopted the grammar translation approach for the first time in the middle of the nineteenth century (Rahman, 2012). Similarly, according to Tetzner (2006), the grammar-translation method (GTM), which is still in use in some nations today, dominated the teaching of foreign languages from the middle of the 1800s until the start of World War Two. Prussia in Germany was the first country to adopt GTM as the primary approach for teaching a second language at national high schools, or Gymnasiums, in the late eighteenth century. GTM was the only extensively utilized teaching methodology in schools this century, not just in Germany but also in other European nations. Greek and Latin were the first subjects taught with it.

Definition of Grammar Translation Method (GTM)

According to Lestary (2019), the Grammar Translation Method (GTM) is a foreign language teaching system based on ancient ways of teaching Greek and Latin. This method requires pupils to translate entire texts and memorize grammar rules, exceptions, and word sets. This strategy relies on reading and translating texts. In a similar vein, the Grammar Translation Method emphasizes following grammar rules, memorizing vocabulary, declensions, and conjugations, translating texts, and completing written tasks (Brown, 1994, as cited in Chang, 2011).

Features of Grammar Translation Method (GTM)

According to Rahman (2012), the grammar translation approach has the following main features: (1) Classes are taught in the mother tongue, with minimal usage of the target language. (2) Much vocabulary is taught using lists of isolated words. (3) Detailed explanations of ~ar are provided. (4) Grammar gives a pattern for putting words together, and instructions frequently emphasize the shape and inflection of the words. (5) The reading of difficult classical works begins early. (6) The content of the texts is given little attention, as they are considered as grammatical analysis exercises. (7) Frequently, the only drills are exercises in translating selected sentences from the target language into the mother tongue.

Likewise, Milawati (2019), characteristics of Grammar Translation Method (GTM) are described: (1) GTM entails instructing students in their

native tongue. (2) GTM introduces new vocabulary through the usage of several isolated words. (3) In GTM, topic discussions are explained by putting words together. (4) GTM focuses on translating sentences and paragraphs between the target language and the mother tongue. (5) GTM emphasizes studying grammar rules and analyzing sentence forms.

Advantage of Grammar Translation Method (GTM)

Grammar Translation Methods has a significant impact on pupils' ability to absorb information in class. For example, Jazilah et al., (2020), GTM helps students develop good reading and translation skills by focusing on translating texts and memorizing grammatical principles. It helps students understand and enjoy foreign language literature by improving their ability to read and comprehend works in the target language. And it offers an organized approach to language learning that includes clear rules and norms for students to follow. This can be beneficial for those who prefer a more structured and ordered approach to studying. Moreover, GTM can assist students in improving their reading and writing skills, and provides a structured approach to language acquisition, including phases such as observation, inquiry, data collecting, associating, and communication. It also allows students to translate texts from the target language into their mother tongue, improving their vocabulary and sentence structure skills. GTM, especially, causes pupils to learn grammatical rules through examples and apply them in their writing. Examine the significance of the material (Sukraningsih and Karmini, 2023).

Disadvantages of Grammar Translation Method (GTM)

Based on a journal conducted by Mamadjanova and Xomidova (2023), shows that GTM has significant downsides. The program's lack of emphasis on speaking and listening practice can hinder real communication and oral fluency development. The concentration on grammar Rules and translation exercises might limit students' capacity to communicate effectively in real-life situations, leading to a lack of communicative competence. GTM's emphasis on rote memorization and translation may hinder meaningful language acquisition and internalization of linguistic structures. The Grammar Translation Method offers a solid foundation in grammar and vocabulary, but has difficulties in increasing communicative competence and meaningful language use. To improve EFL learning, educators should promote authentic communication and meaningful language use, taking into account the disadvantages of current methodologies.

Limitations of Grammar Translation Method (GTM)

Upon closer inspection, the Grammar Translation Method (GTM) has a number of drawbacks, such as:

1. **Limited Emphasis on Speaking and Listening:** the GTM places too little focus on practicing speaking and listening, which might impede oral fluency development and effective communication. Students' ability to speak successfully in everyday situations may be limited by the

emphasis on grammar rules and translation exercises, which could result in a lack of communicative competence.

2. Rote Memorization: meaningful language acquisition and internalization of linguistic structures may be hampered by GTM's emphasis on rote memorization and translation. This may result in a deficiency in communicative ability and meaningful language use.
3. Limited Content Focus: The emphasis on translation exercises and grammar rules in GTM may draw attention away from content. the writings' content is mostly ignored because they are regarded as grammatical analysis exercises.
4. Limited Vocabulary Development: by using a number of isolated terms, GTM teaches new vocabulary, which could restrict pupils' ability to expand their vocabulary.

History of Direct Method

Based on, Hilgendorf (2012) stated that Europeans started utilizing the current languages spoken on the continent more frequently in the fifteenth century, replacing Latin. The direct approach, which addressed the growing need for speaking abilities in languages like French, German, and English, was created by the 19th century and was based on first language learning.

Therefore, the Direct Method – which was dubbed such by 1900 – is also known as the Natural (Method Mani, 2016). When referring to the Direct Method, the word "natural" only indicated that the guiding ideas of the approach were thought to follow the naturalistic principles of young children's language acquisition.

However, according to Terrell (1977), the term "natural" denotes that his theory is predicated on research and observations of second language acquisition that were carried out in "natural," or extracurricular, contexts. There are differences between the Natural Approach and the Natural Method (also known as the Direct Method), despite the fact that the term "natural" has been used in both. The Natural Method and the Natural Approach both place more focus on matching children's experiences learning a foreign language to their first language acquisition. The Natural Approach, "a new philosophy of language teaching" was first proposed by Tracy Terrell, an instructor of Spanish at University of California and thrived as a result of joint efforts of Tracy Terrell and an applied linguist, Stephen Krashen.

Definition of Direct Method

The Direct Method focuses on educating pupils' oral skills, including listening and speaking skill and this method stresses pupils' communication skills in English (Ali, 2020). In addition to training students' speaking skills, the direct technique is also used to improve their reading skills by developing vocabulary (Mahmud and Ulya, 2021).

Moreover, based on a research conducted by Batool et al., (2017), the Direct Method, also known as the Natural Method, is a popular method that allows students to communicate with others by sharing or exchanging

information in a foreign language consisting of a set of sounds or written symbols. The Natural Method, which is utilized in ordinary communication, similarly focuses the answer-question session on the language of instruction. The Direct Method should be used directly with the target language, without translation into the original language. The goal is to help language learners gain practical communication skills. It's helpful to mention something when communicating to ensure understanding. Instead of interpreting the educational content, the teacher is accepted. to directly utilize the target language in class because a language is best taught when actively used in class. The teacher should not explain, but rather correlate the concept with action and demonstration. Learners are taught grammatical rules using illustrations and demonstrations related to the subject at hand. This strategy simulates the teacher-student and student-student interactions. This strategy emphasizes correct pronunciation and introduces new vocabulary using familiar words.

Features of Direct Method

According to Larsen-Freeman (2000), language is essential for expressing communication and the act of speaking itself. Students are encouraged to use the target language throughout classroom activities.

A feature of the direct method:

1. An inductive approach is used in grammar instruction.
2. Used the English language.
3. The impact of the Q&A session.
4. The idea of vocabulary and instruction.
5. Visual resources.

Direct Method Techniques

1. Read aloud so that others can hear you.
2. Question answer session.
3. The Act of Dictation
4. Complete the unfilled exercise.
5. Self-correction by students
6. Speaking to oneself.
7. Language proficiency (reading, speaking, and listening).

Direct Method's Fundamentals

1. Give an oral introduction to the new lesson points.
2. Taught the terminology and sentences every day.
3. Students used the English language during the class.
4. The pronunciation and grammar are accurate.
5. Verbal communication abilities.
6. Language is used for communication.
7. Gave a speech and listened to a thorough understanding of the subject matter.

Learner of First Language

1. Prior to mastering the target language, one has no concept of a second language.

2. Mother tongues differ in that some are immature and have to do with the neurological system.
3. Target Language facilitates factual knowledge.
4. He is unaware of the object's name.
5. He has a high frequency of impact over items related to mother voice's tongue.

Learner of Second Language

1. Prior to mastering the target language, one has an understanding of another language.
2. Mature in relation to the nervous system follows concept knowledge.
3. They are fully knowledgeable about mother tongue.
4. He is familiar with all the names.

Advantages of Direct Method

Students that use the Direct Method benefit from easier-to-understand language. Language that depends upon the usage of sentences how to speak with each other not for the words that allow the pupils to learn the previous speech (Viëtor, 2018). Furthermore, based on the finding conducted by Richards and Rodgers (2014), the Direct Method criticizes educators who don't talk with native fluency. Students who lack opportunity to acquire language skills unless their teachers impart native-like abilities can do so through the implementation of direct methods that impact teachers' capacity to accomplish tasks well or efficiently.

According to, Damairi and Suyadi (2022), in addition, the direct method of teaching speaking has several benefits, including better communication skills, higher student involvement, and better focus and attention during the learning process. In order to make learning more dynamic and interesting, the direct approach of teaching speaking includes displaying graphics, posing questions, responding to inquiries, and having students ask questions and answer those of their peers. Students are encouraged to be more creative and engaged in their learning when speaking is taught through the use of direct method exercises. The direct approach fosters interest and curiosity in the subject matter by assisting pupils in focusing and paying attention to the teacher's explanation. And by practicing offering and declining opinions—which may not be common in everyday life—students adopting the direct technique can improve their communication abilities.

In a similar vein, Ali's findings from 2020 highlight a few benefits of the direct method:

1. In order to strengthen communication abilities, oral practice is given more attention.
2. Words and idioms, among other branches of vocabulary, can be taught using this approach.
3. This approach supports students in developing fluency in communicating.
4. Teachers transition from concrete to abstract and from specific to general.
5. It facilitates and improves the experience of teaching English.

6. This approach creates an appropriate and dynamic learning environment for spoken English communication.
7. Without hesitation, English language learners use whole phrases when speaking to others.

Disadvantages of Direct Method

The Direct Method has received criticism for a variety of issues despite being widely accepted (Richards and Rodgers, 2014):

1. Many words in this context are abstract and cannot be directly understood.
English and a great deal of time and effort are lost trying to achieve the goal.
2. The foundation of this approach is the idea that auditory attraction outweighs visual appeal. However, some kids learn better with their eyes than with their oral-aural senses, such as their tongue and hearing.
3. The approach fails to give reading and writing adequate attention, and it disregards organized written assignments and reading exercises.
4. Since the reader and grammar are intimately linked in this system, it can be challenging to find readers of this caliber.
5. This approach is not appropriately used in larger courses, and the way it is taught there does not meet the needs of each individual student.

Limitations of Direct Method

The direct method has drawbacks that should be taken into account, despite its emphasis on vocal communication and useful language use. first of all, pupils could find it challenging to directly comprehend and grasp particular terms due to abstract vocabulary issues. furthermore, because the approach may not sufficiently handle structured written assignments and reading exercises, it may impede the development of comprehensive language skills by ignoring reading and writing abilities. moreover, the method's dependence on auditory learning might not accommodate learners who gain more from visual learning, which could restrict its efficacy for some people.

History of Audiolingual Method

Throughout the 1950s and 1960s, the field of education was mostly dominated by the Audio-lingual Method. The Army Specialized Training Program (ASTP) was the first to employ this technique in 1942 (Vidhiasi and Lengari, 2018). During World War II, the audio-lingual approach to teaching English as a second language or target language took root and eventually became the Army way. Likewise, Shahheidari (1997) said that a review of the last 100 years of language instruction paints an intriguing picture of the range of approaches used in the study of teaching foreign languages. The unexpected outbreak of World War II increased the demand for fluent language speakers. Under the Army Specialized Training Program (ASTP), the US Army funded specialized language programs that emphasized oral and auditory skills.

Numerous American colleges made contributions to this initiative. The National Defense Education Act (NDEA 1957) provided funding for language research and development, which aided in the growth of audiolingualism.

Definition of Audiolingual Method

A popular method for teaching English to foreigners (EFL) is the audio-lingual method, which focuses on improving oral proficiency through practice using patterns and repetitive drills (Richards and Rodgers, 2014). The idea that "a language is first of all a system of sounds for social communication; writing is a secondary derivative system for the recording of spoken language" served as the foundation for the development of the Audio-Lingual approach, which was put out by American linguists in the 1950s (Carroll, 1963). Because of this, efforts must be made to enhance the pupils' listening skills. Enhancing listening comprehension skills involves implementing a methodical approach that is evident in the materials and instruction that students receive (Abduh, 2016). According to Brown (1994) (as cited in Abduh, 2016), this, together with a few novel concepts regarding language acquisition from the fields of behavioral psychology and descriptive linguistics, led to the development of the Audio-lingual Method (ALM).

On the other hand, Larsen-Freeman (2000), the oral abilities are the main focus of the Audio-Lingual approach. Its goal is to raise pupils' achievement in speaking. To help pupils acquire language abilities successfully, spoken language things are given to them without reference to the mother tongue. The objective of the Audio-Lingual approach is to equip students with the ability to reply promptly and properly in spoken language by teaching vocabulary and grammatical patterns through dialogues. The dialogues are learned by repetition, and exercises including question-and-answer sessions, drills based on patterns found in the dialogue, and backward build-up, chain, substitution, and transformation are all done.

Moreover, Brown and Dowling, (1998), the term "audio-lingual teaching method" refers to a technique that places a strong emphasis on word repetition in order to enable pupils to communicate in the target language. The repetition and drills are meant to break apart the difficult sentences into smaller chunks. One important component of audio-lingual language instruction methods, which emphasize oral practice and repetition of structural patterns, is drilling.

Features of Audio-Lingual Method

Based on a study conducted by Mart, (2013), the following are the essential components of the Audio-Lingual method:

- The teacher models reading a dialogue aloud. Placing the material in context has always been inspiring, and pupils have a higher probability of remembering what they have learned. In order to help them connect what they learn to actual learning environments, students acquire the target language in a tangible context. Teachers serve as role models for their students, motivating them to work hard to acquire the target language.

- Students carry out the conversation again. Students can acquire automatic and fluent usage of the target language through repetition. The goal of this method is to help students develop the habit of using the target language naturally; as a result, the more they use it, the more fluently they will be able to speak it.
- In the dialogue, a few words or sentences are modified. The pupils will be able to practice using the drills included in this approach. Students are given the chance to make speech in the target language through drills including single-slot replacement, multiple-slot substitution, and transformation. These patterns will also help them understand how language works. When students practice the drills, they acquire the necessary skills to respond appropriately.

Furthermore, further features of the Audio Lingual Method are as follows, as stated by Bushra (2001) (as cited in Viriyapanyanont et al., 2022): (1) Instruction is provided in the target language; (2) Language forms take place in a context; (3) Students' native language interferes with their attempts to learn the target language as little as possible; (4) Teaching is focused on giving students a native-speaker-like model; (5) Analogy provides a better foundation for language learning than analysis; (6) Errors are carefully avoided because they create bad habits; (7) Positive reinforcement helps the student to develop correct habits; (8) Students are encouraged to learn how to respond to verbal and non-verbal stimuli; (9) Teachers are seen as conductors, directing and controlling the behavior of the students in the target language.

Advantages of Audio-Lingual Method

In connection with this, on January 14, 2023, the website Learn From the Best published an article titled The Audiolingual Method: Pros And Cons. The material was described that: when teaching foreign languages, one of the most popular approaches is the audio-lingual method. Building speaking and listening habits is a fantastic technique to improve writing and listening abilities. This course uses dialogues as the main method of presenting language, hence the main training methods are drills. The Audio-Lingual Method has a number of advantages. The first approach places a strong emphasis on speaking and listening. The natural language of the target language is to blame for this. Therefore, in order to do so, pupils are not need to master the other students' native tongue. The Audio-lingual Method's primary foundation for language presentation is dialogue. Students will find these exchanges to be more understandable and natural. Students are able to study faster and more efficiently as a result. The Audio-lingual technique makes considerable use of drills in addition to audio-lingual teaching. Students benefit from drills because they enhance their speaking and listening abilities. Students can also pick up the vocabulary and grammar of the target language through drills.

According to อรุณ พวง ผก (2023), with so many benefits, the Audio-Lingual Method is a popular option for language teachers who want to improve their students' communication and language skills. Learners gain increased fluency and comfort in the target language through its methodical repetition

and mimicking strategy, which leads to a more natural speaking style. Furthermore, because this approach uses few materials and promotes active oral contact, it is especially beneficial in situations with limited resources and high-class sizes. In addition to improving students' language abilities, frequent oral practice in the classroom builds students' confidence and encourages them to interact with one another.

Even more intriguingly, this is a synopsis of The Benefits of Audio-Lingual Method, as referenced in อรุณ พวง ผกา (2023):

1. Encourages accurate pronunciation and oral fluency
2. A heavy emphasis on imitation and repetition improves fluency and comfort levels in the target language.
3. Minimizes the need for substantial materials, making it appropriate for big classrooms and environment with limited resources.
4. Through regular oral practice, a natural communication style is developed.
5. Encourages active student participation and a dynamic, exciting classroom environment.
6. Promotes conversation and interaction, increasing pupils' comfort level in the language.
7. Delivers measurable outcomes in a variety of learning environments.

Disadvantages of Audio-Lingual Method

Mei (2018) found that a language theory technique that was influenced by Noam Chomsky, the Audio-Lingual Method, has come under fire for not fully appreciating the significance of language competency and cognitive processes. Critics contend that the approach undervalues meaning, comprehension, and knowledge in favor of structural linguistics and ignores human cognitive processes. Additionally, they contend that the method's mechanical approach, which lacks context, impairs pupils' capacity for creativity and effective communication. The Audiolingual Method is a passive teaching strategy that disregards how well knowledge is internalized by the pupils. Errors result from a lack of active learning encouragement. Both the students' self-esteem and their ability to learn the language may be harmed by this. Rather, teachers ought to foster a quiet atmosphere, assist language use, and promote candid dialogue. Educators may get to know their students, encourage learning, and see as their language abilities progressively improve with this strategy. To avoid this, however, prompt error rectification is required.

Finally, the Audiolingual Method prioritizes teaching linguistic components like words and sentence structures over sociological, cultural, and communicative aspects, often neglecting the cultural context of the target language. This approach encourages imitation and repetition, making it difficult for students to apply their learning to authentic communication. Additionally, it neglects the development of reading and writing skills, focusing on speaking and listening comprehension.

Limitations of Audio-Lingual Method

Upon thorough examination of the Audiolingual approach, many limitations are identified. Despite emphasizing oral fluency and communication abilities, the Audio-Lingual Method has many drawbacks. Its strong dependence on imitation and repetition is a problem because it could minimize the importance of meaningful language use and comprehension. Furthermore, the method may limit total language proficiency because it only emphasizes speaking and listening, neglecting the development of reading and writing skills. Furthermore, students' capacity to freely and artistically express themselves in the target language may be hampered by the approach's rigorous adherence to error avoidance and restricted space for originality. These drawbacks imply that, despite its benefits, the Audio-Lingual Method would not be able to completely meet the various needs of language learners.

This discussion aims to synthesize the key findings from the literature review and provide insights into the historical development, theoretical underpinnings, practical implications, and future directions for research and practice in language education.

Moreover, the literature review highlights the historical context and evolution of the Grammar Translation, Direct Method, and Audiolingual Method. The Grammar Translation Method, rooted in traditional language instruction, emerged in the 19th century and emphasized the explicit teaching of grammar rules and translation between languages. And the Direct Method gained popularity in the early 20th century as a reaction against grammar-focused approaches, advocating for the use of the target language exclusively in the classroom to promote natural language acquisition. The Audiolingual Method, additionally, developed in response to linguistic demands during World War II, emphasized habit formation through drills, imitation, and repetition.

Anyway, each method is informed by different theoretical frameworks and principles of language learning. The Grammar Translation Method reflects a structuralist approach, focusing on the analysis of language structures and written accuracy. The Direct Method aligns with communicative and functional theories of language acquisition, prioritizing spoken communication and contextual learning. And the Audiolingual Method is influenced by behaviorist theories, emphasizing stimulus-response conditioning and habit formation in language learning.

Despite their distinct approaches, the Grammar Translation, Direct Method, and Audiolingual Method have practical implications for language teaching and learning. Educators can draw on the strengths of each method to design effective language instruction that caters to diverse learner needs and goals. For example, the Grammar Translation Method can be valuable for teaching grammar rules and developing reading comprehension skills, while the Direct Method can promote oral fluency and communicative competence. The Audiolingual Method may also be useful for developing language habits and automaticity in speaking and listening skills.

It is also important to acknowledge the limitations and criticisms associated with each method. The Grammar Translation Method has been criticized for its focus on form over meaning and its limited emphasis on communicative competence. The Direct Method may face challenges in adapting to varied learner populations and contexts, and its lack of explicit grammar instruction may hinder some learners. Even, the Audiolingual Method has been criticized for its reliance on rote memorization and drills, which may inhibit critical thinking and creativity in language learning.

Moving forward, there is a need for further research and innovation in language teaching methodologies. Integrating insights from cognitive psychology, sociolinguistics, and technology-enhanced learning can inform the development of more effective and learner-centered approaches to language instruction. Future research could explore hybrid or blended approaches that combine elements of the Grammar Translation, Direct Method, and Audiolingual Method with modern pedagogical principles and technological tools.

In conclusion, the literature review of the Grammar Translation, Direct Method, and Audiolingual Method underscores the diversity of approaches in language teaching and learning. By understanding the historical development, theoretical underpinnings, practical implications, and limitations of each method, educators can make informed decisions about selecting and adapting methodologies to optimize language instruction for diverse learner populations.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATIONS

In summary as a whole, a thorough examination of the development of English Language Teaching (ELT) technique across time reveals a diverse range of methods that have all aided in improving and expanding our knowledge of language acquisition. The features, methodologies, benefits, drawbacks, and limitations of three well-known approaches—Grammar Translation, Direct Method, and Audiolingual Method—have all been carefully examined. This survey of the literature has given readers a thorough understanding of these approaches, highlighting their historical significance and impact on language education.

And with its roots in conventional language instruction, the Grammar Translation Method places a strong emphasis on teaching grammar rules explicitly and translating phrases between the target and native tongues. Its emphasis on grammatical correctness and written fluency helps pupils gain a thorough comprehension of language structures. Its drawbacks, meanwhile, include its disregard for oral communication abilities and its propensity to produce fluent language learners.

However, the Direct Method, on the other hand, uses the target language only in the classroom in an effort to fully immerse students in it. This approach emphasizes spoken communication and contextual learning while supporting the acquisition of natural language. The Direct Method has limitations in terms of adaptation to varied learner populations and the absence of a systematic

approach to grammar instruction, despite its efficacy in improving speaking abilities.

Furthermore, the Audiolingual Method places a strong emphasis on drills, imitation, and repetition to help develop language habits. It was developed in response to the linguistic demands during World War II. This approach is in line with behaviorist learning theories since it places a lot of emphasis on oral skills and habit formation. Its strictures and narrow emphasis on meaning, however, can obstruct the growth of critical thinking and communication abilities.

And It is clear from looking at these techniques that no one strategy is comprehensive or appropriate for all situations. Every methodology has special characteristics and methods that are tailored to meet particular settings and learning goals. The Direct Method supports learning a language naturally, the Audiolingual Method stresses habit building, and the Grammar Translation Method emphasizes the significance of formal language structures.

In short, language teachers need to use a flexible and eclectic approach, taking into account the benefits and drawbacks of each method while minimizing its drawbacks. The effectiveness of language instruction can be further increased by combining technology, adopting communicative language teaching practices, and creating a learner-centered atmosphere.

Based on the conclusion drawn from the literature review of the Grammar Translation, Direct, and Audiolingual Methods, it's evident that each approach offers unique benefits and drawbacks. Recognizing this diversity, language educators should adopt a flexible and eclectic approach to teaching, incorporating elements from each method while mitigating their limitations.

To effectively teach English Language Teaching (ELT), educators can:

- i. Blend methodologies: Integrate aspects of the Grammar Translation Method to instill grammatical accuracy, utilize the Direct Method to promote natural language acquisition and oral fluency, and incorporate drills and repetition from the Audiolingual Method to develop language habits.
- ii. Utilize technology: Leverage technology to enhance language instruction, such as interactive language learning apps, online resources for immersive language practice, and multimedia materials for diverse learning experiences.
- iii. Embrace communicative language teaching: Foster communicative competence by encouraging meaningful interaction and real-world language use in the classroom, facilitating opportunities for students to engage in authentic communication tasks and activities.
- iv. Create a learner-centered environment: Tailor instruction to meet the needs and preferences of individual learners, promoting autonomy, self-directed learning, and personalized learning pathways.

All in all, by adopting an eclectic approach that draws on the strengths of various methods while addressing their limitations, language educators can create dynamic and effective language learning experiences that cater to diverse learner needs and goal.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all those who contributed to the completion of this review study on analyzing the Grammar Translation, Direct Method, and Audiolingual Method. I am also thankful to the authors of the studies included in this review, whose insightful contributions formed the basis of our analysis. Additionally, I extend my appreciation to my colleagues and peers for their collaboration and encouragement. This study would not have been possible without the assistance of various individuals and resources, and for that, I am truly grateful.

FURTHER RESEARCH

This research still has limitations, so further research needs to be done on this topic “Analyzing Grammar Translation, Direct Method, and Audiolingual Method: A literature Review”

REFERENCES

- Abduh, N. B. (2016). The use of Audio-lingual method in teaching listening comprehension at the second-year students of SMK YAPIP Makassar Sungguminasa. *Exposure*, 5(1), 43-52.
- Ali Alghamdi, Y., Alghamdi, A., & Alsolami, T. (2019). English Language Teaching: Historical Overview, Current Issues and Suggestions for Enhancing Speaking Proficiency in EFL Contexts. *English Language Teaching: Historical Overview, Current Issues and Suggestions for Enhancing Speaking Proficiency in EFL Contexts (June 2019)*. *Arab World English Journal (AWEJ) Volume*, 10.
- Ali, R. (2020). A Review of Direct Method and Audio-Lingual Method in English Oral Communication. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research*, 9(8), 289-293.
- Al-Taai, S. H. H. (2021). Teaching Methods Are a Study of Their Importance and Types in Educational Institutions. *Pt. 2 J. Legal Ethical & Regul. Isses*, 24, 1.
- Archana, S., & Rani, K. U. (2017). Role of a teacher in English language teaching (ELT). *International Journal of Educational Science and Research (IJESR)*, 7(1), 1-4.
- Batool, N., Anosh, M., Batool, A., & Iqbal, N. (2017). The direct method: A good start to teach oral language. *International Journal of English Language Teaching*, 5(1), 37-40.
- Bidabadi, N. S., Isfahani, A. N., Rouhollahi, A., & Khalili, R. (2016). Effective teaching methods in higher education: requirements and barriers. *Journal of advances in medical education & professionalism*, 4(4), 170.
- Brown, A., & Dowling, P. (1998). *Doing research/reading research: A mode of interrogation for education (Vol. 1)*. London: Falmer Press.
- Cal Varela, M. (2010). Current issues in English language teaching and learning: An international perspective. (*No Title*).
- Carroll, J. (1963). “Research on Teaching Foreign Languages”. In N. Gage (ed.), *Handbook of Research on Language Teaching*. (Chicago: RandMcNally). Pp. 1060-1100.

- Chang, S. C. (2011). A contrastive study of grammar translation method and communicative approach in teaching English grammar. *English language teaching*, 4(2), 13.
- Damairi, D., & Suyadi, S. (2022). The Use of Direct Method in Teaching Speaking to Grade Eight MTs Negeri 2 Kota Jambi in the Academic Year 2019/2020. *Jurnal Ilmiah Universitas Batanghari Jambi*, 22(3), 2142-2148.
- Hilgendorf, S. K. (2012). History of language teaching methods. *The encyclopedia of applied linguistics*, 2522-2525.
- Jazilah, N., Isnaini, L. Z., & Fadilah, H. R. K. (2020, May). Grammar Translation Method and Discovery Learning to Learn Tenses: Which is Better for Indonesian Students? In *International Conference on English Language Teaching (ICONELT 2019)* (pp. 299-303). Atlantis Press.
- Khalil, L., & Kholofelo Semono-Eke, B. (2020). Appropriate teaching methods for general English and English for specific purposes from teachers' perspectives. *Arab World English Journal (AWEJ)* Volume, 11.
- Kirkwood, B. (2018). *The Grammar Translation Method: History, Overview and Use in Japan*.
- Larsen-Freeman, D. (2000). *Techniques and principles in language teaching*. oxford University.
- Learn From the Best, January 14, 2023. *The Audiolingual Method: Pros And Cons*. (Visited on January 11, 2024).
- Lestary, A. (2019). The use of grammar translation method (GTM) in teaching Bahasa Indonesia to foreign learner. *TEFLA Journal (Teaching English as Foreign Language and Applied Linguistic Journal)*, 1(2), 1-4.
- Mahmud, M., & Ulya, S. (2021). The Effect of Direct Method in Teaching Speaking Skill: Quantitative Method. *JOURNEY (Journal of English Language and Pedagogy)*, 1(1), 88-102.
- Mamadjanova, M. U., & qizi Xomidova, M. S. (2023). Grammar translation method: exploring advantages and disadvantages. *Educational Research in Universal Sciences*, 2(17), 309-311.
- Mani, R. S. (2016). The natural approach. *Journal of English Language and Literature (JOELL)*, 3(1), 8-16.
- Mart, C. T. (2013). The audio-lingual method: An easy way of achieving speech. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 3(12), 63.
- Mei, Y. (2018). Comments on the audiolingual method. *International journal of arts and commerce*, 7(4), 90.
- Milawati, M. (2019). Grammar Translation Method: Current Practice In EFL Context. *IJELTAL (Indonesian Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics)*, 4(1), 187-196.
- Moe, Y. S. A Study on the Use of Grammar Translation Method in Teaching Business English to the Second Year Students Specializing in Regional Development (Doctoral dissertation, MERAL Portal).
- Rahman, F. (2012). Grammar translation method (GTM).

- Rahman, M. (2012). Grammar translation method (GTM): An effective and feasible method in Bangladesh context.
- RAJU, B. The Importance of Teaching English Language Through Literature.
- Reddy, S. (2012). Importance of English and different methods of teaching English. *Journal of Business Management & Social Sciences Research*, 1(3), 25-28.
- Richards, J. C., & Rodgers, T. S. (2014). *Approaches and methods in language teaching*. Cambridge university press.
- Salman, H. M. (2015). Recent trends of Arabic language teaching methods in contemporary education environment. *Journal Of Educational and Psychological Researches*, 12(47).
- Shahheidari, G. (1997). The Audiolingual Method. Online Submission.
- Sukraningsih, G. A. G., & Karmini, N. N. (2023). The Use of Grammar Translation Method in English Learning to the Sub-Districts'junior High Schools in Tabanan Regency. *Suluh Pendidikan*, 21(1), 82-89.
- Terrell, T. D. (1977). A natural approach to second language acquisition and learning 1. *The modern language journal*, 61(7), 325-337.
- Tetzner, R. (2006). *The grammar-translation method*. GRIN Verlag.
- Vidhiasi, D. M., & Lengari, Y. G. P. (2018). The Implementation of Audio-Lingual Method in Teaching Grammar. *Saintara: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu-Ilmu Maritim*, 2(2), 13-18.
- Viëtor, W. (2018). *Der Sprachunterricht muss umkehren! Ein Beitrag zur Überbürdungsfrage*. In *Early Years of Reform* (pp. 1-40). Routledge.
- Viriyapanyanont, T., Homklang, S., & Khonpean, B. (2022). Developing English listening skills by using Audio-Lingual Method Techniques in Listening for Specific Purposes Course: Case Study, Ban Non-Wat Archaeological Site. *International Journal of Sociologies and Anthropologies Science Reviews*, 2(6), 69-78.
- อนุช พรม ผาห. (2023). *Audio-Lingual Method*. Thailand